

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 12/19/2001	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Technical Report	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Comparative Study of Multivariate Analysis for Selection and Classification Using Fuzzy Measures and Reasoning		5. FUNDING NUMBERS N00014-00-1-0769	
6. AUTHORS Shing I Chang; Stanley Lee; Steve Hanna			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Industrial and Manufacturing Systems Engineering Kansas State University 237 Durland Hall Manhattan, KS 66503		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research Ballston Centre Tower One 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5660		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution Unlimited		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This study develops a set of decision-making tools to be used by the Navy, commercial and private enterprise to select and classify recruits and/or employees. As an addition to or potential replacement for the current Navy selection and classification process, this process utilizes current research in the latest decision support techniques. Multiple methods are tested using discriminate analysis, multiple criteria decision making, fuzzy and evidence reasoning. The study allows decision-makers to combine both qualitative and qualitative information on a sailor's skills, competencies, and experiences to select recruits. The data from the selection process can be utilized to classify recruits using a computer-based algorithm. We focus on fuzzy MADM as the primary tool for combining multiple input attributes which may be crisp or uncertain. The major scientific merit of this study is that we advance the area of decision making under uncertainty by providing a fuzzy modeling framework and computation structure.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS Decision Making, MADM, Fuzzy, Uncertainty Reasoning		15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
		16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling each block of the form follow. It is important to ***stay within the lines to meet optical scanning requirements.***

Block 1. Agency Use Only (*Leave blank*).

Block 2. Report Date. Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g., 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.

Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered. State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g., 10 Jul 87 - 30 Jun 88).

Block 4. Title and Subtitle. A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

Block 5. Funding Numbers. To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract	PR - Project
G - Grant	TA - Task
PE - Program Element	WU - Work Unit Accession No.

Block 6. Author(s). Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

Block 7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 8. Performing Organization Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number. (*If known*)

Block 11. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with . . . ; Trans. of . . . ; To be published in When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

Block 12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.

Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g., NOFORN, REL, ITAR).

DOD - See DoDD 5230, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents"
DOE - See authorities.
NASA - See Handbook NHB 2200.2.
NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 12b. Distribution Code.

DOD - Leave blank.
DOE - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports.
NASA - Leave blank.
NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 13. Abstract. Include a brief (*Maximum 200 words*) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

Block 14. Subject Terms. Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.

Block 15. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 16. Price Code. Enter appropriate price code (*NTIS only*).

Blocks 17. - 19. Security Classifications. Self-explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

Block 20. Limitation of Abstract. This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.

FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

GRANT #: N00014-00-1-0769

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:

Dr. Shing I Chang (e-mail: changs@ksu.edu)
Co-PI(s): Dr. E. S. Lee and Dr. Steven R. Hanna

INSTITUTION: Kansas State University

GRANT TITLE: A Comparative Study of Multivariate Analysis for Selection and Classification Using Fuzzy Measures and Reasoning

AWARD PERIOD: July 1, 2000 to September 30, 2001

OBJECTIVE:

The objective of this study is to provide a methodology by which the Navy can select recruits and classify recruits. The proposed method considers all input factors over time, including “crisp” and fuzzy linguistic variables to provide not just a solution but rather a set of possible solutions ranked by possibility scores generated from a fuzzy MADM. The goal is to take into consideration the recruit’s “whole person” profile including the ASVAB, job specific skills, social understandings, motivation, leadership and other test scores. Research results can also be generalized to other personnel questions, such as corporate recruiting/job assignment.

APPROACH:

We conducted a literature search to identify all works done related to selection and classification problems in industry or the military. A set of mathematical expressions was developed to model and describe selection and classification problems. Based on the problem description, we improved and developed a fuzzy MADM method based on Siskos, Lochard and Lombard’s work (1984). The proposed methods as well as other popular MADM methods are compared and validated by a few sample problems. Finally, we implement all methodologies via two sets of computer programs – Microsoft’s Visual C++ and Mathwork’s Matlab.

Siskos, J.L., J. Lochard and J. Lombard (1984), “A Multicriteria Decision-Making methodology under fuzziness: Application to the evaluation of radiological protection in nuclear power plants”, *TIMS/studies in the Management Sciences*, 20, pp 261-283.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS (throughout award period):

In this project, we have advanced the area of decision making under uncertainty by providing a fuzzy modeling framework and computation structure. The proposed algorithm can handle both crisp and uncertain input data, such as the motivation input. The pair-wise comparisons for each attribute and all candidates are concatenated to provide a comprehensive overall evaluation. The end results are rankings for each job posting. The computer programs make the task easy to conduct any MADM problem. Finally, we also studied the limits of the proposed method due to computational complexity.

CONCLUSIONS:

We compared various methods related to selection and classification and found many methods are not appropriate for this application. For example, a popular statistical method is called discriminate analysis (DA) which is often used to cluster subjects/candidates of similar attributes together. DA is

not appropriate for selection and classification problems in that the best candidate within a clustered group is that closest to the “center” of the group. In other words, a decision maker would end up picking the most “average” candidate within a group. On the other hand, the proposed fuzzy MADM method compares all candidates in a pair-wise fashion. Each candidate would be assigned a fuzzy score which considers the relative and global strength in term of all attributes and other candidates. The final results are much more appropriate for a selection and classification problem. Furthermore, the proposed method is capable of computation of qualitative as well as quantitative attributes which are very relevant to the future Navy vision of “whole person” profile for personnel. A whole person profile would consist of both test scores and other qualitative attributes, such as, leadership, motivation, and spatial skills, etc. With the large numbers of Navy personnel assigned each year and complexity of whole person profile system, the proposed method provides a scientific decision making methodology to seek out best candidates for vacant positions.

SIGNIFICANCE:

There is a need for improvement in the selection and classification of workers not only in military but also in private sectors. Currently organizations often are just hiring “warm bodies.” Although there is some degree of success using the hit or miss approach, those companies who are better in recruiting are those who have more sophisticated interview techniques and tests for aptitude and ability. The military is more integrated in recruiting than many private companies. However, there is a lot of room for improvement in “hiring processes” for both type of organizations. We believe that we have advanced the area of decision making under uncertainty by the study of fuzzy outranking with uncertainty inputs and the corresponding computation algorithm. Our work is very promising in solving large classification problems consistently although more research is needed to improve computational time for problems with extremely large data such as ten thousand candidates with 200 attributes.

PATENT INFORMATION: No patent is applied.

AWARD INFORMATION: None.

REFEREED PUBLICATIONS (for total award period):

Shih, H. S. and Lee, E. S. (2001). “Discrete Multi-level Programming,” *Dynamic Aspects in Fuzzy Decision Making*, Yuji Yoshida (ed.), Springer Verlag, NY.

BOOK CHAPTERS, SUBMISSIONS, ABSTRACTS AND OTHER PUBLICATIONS (for total award period)

Aouam, T., Lee, E.S., Chang, S., and Hanna, S. (2001), Fuzzy MADM: An outranking relation using the Overall Existence Ranking Index, internal report, Department of Industrial and Manufacturing Systems Engineering, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS.

Aouam, T. (2001), Fuzzy Outranking Methods For Multiple Attribute Decision Making, MS thesis, Department of Industrial and Manufacturing Systems Engineering, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS.

Aouam, T. Chang, S. and Lee, E.S., “Fuzzy MADM: An Outranking Method,” submitted to *European Journal of Operations Research*.

December 26, 2001

Tanja F. Blackstone
Science and Technology Technical Manager
Office of Naval Research

Dear Tanja:

I am writing this letter to file the final report for our project N00014-00-1-0769 subcontracted from University of Mississippi (PI. Norman K. Wormer). Attached please find two copies of final reports, published manuscripts, and a floppy disk that contains the manuscripts. We have also sent the final reports to Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) as well as AGO in Arlington, VA.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you need further information.

Regards,

Shing I Chang
Associate Professor